Discussion of ECB Euro Liquidity Lines

by S. Albrizio, I. Kataryniuk, L. Molina, J. Schafer

A. Cesa-Bianchi*
Bank of England, CEPR, and CfM

Evaluating the monetary-policy toolkit: lessons for the future

Sveriges Riksbank - September 1, 2022

*The views expressed here do not necessarily represent those of the Bank of England or of any of its Committees.

Intro

What are the effects of central bank swap lines?

Intro

- What are the effects of central bank swap lines?
- Important and yet to be fully understood policy tool

Intro

- What are the effects of central bank swap lines?
- Important and yet to be fully understood policy tool
- ► This paper brings in the euro perspective → Nice!
 - * New theoretical analysis of spillbacks
 - * New evidence based on ECB euro liquidity lines

My comments

- [# |] The model
- [#2] Testing the mechanism
- [# 3] The empirical specification
- [# +] Making most of the data

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank		
Assets Liabilities		
<i>C</i> ^R (€)		
	E _t (€)	

> Stylized two-country model with currency-mismatched recipient banks and refinancing risk

Recipie	nt bank		Euro ar	ea bank
Assets	Liabilities		Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in \mathcal{B}_t^R $ (LC)	~~~	$C_t^R \in$	$D_{t} (\in)$ $E_{t} (\in)$

- > Stylized two-country model with currency-mismatched recipient banks and refinancing risk
 - * Cross-border lending

Recipient bank		
Assets	Liabi	lities
$L_t^R \in$	<i>C</i> _t ^R (€)	
	B_t^R (LC)	

Euro area bank	
Assets Liabilities	
$C_t^R \in$	<i>D_t</i> (€)
	E _t (€)

- > Stylized two-country model with currency-mismatched recipient banks and refinancing risk
 - Cross-border lending
 - * Currency mismatched recipient banks' balance sheets

	Recipient bank	
	Assets	Liabilities
Matures in t+2	L _t ^R (€)	$C_t^R \in \mathcal{B}_t^R $ (LC)
in t+2		B_t^R (LC)

	Euro area bank	
	Assets	Liabilities
	C _t ^R (€)	$D_{t} (\in)$ $E_{t} (\in)$
Matures		<i>E_t</i> (€)

- > Stylized two-country model with currency-mismatched recipient banks and refinancing risk
 - Cross-border lending
 - * Currency mismatched balance sheets
 - * Refinancing risk

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets Liabilities	
<i>C</i> ^R (€)	<i>D_t</i> (€)
	<i>E_t</i> (€)

In t+1 the recipient bank has to refinance using B^R hedging FX risk at cost b_{t+1}

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets Liabilities	
$C_t^R \in \mathcal{C}_t$	D _t (€)
	<i>E_t</i> (€)

- ▶ In t+1 the recipient bank has to refinance using B^R hedging FX risk at cost b_{t+1}
- ► If refinancing cost is too high, the recipient bank defaults

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R \in \mathcal{C}_t$	<i>D_t</i> (€)
	E _t (€)

- In t+1 the recipient bank has to refinance using B^R hedging FX risk at cost b_{t+1}
- If refinancing cost is too high, the recipient bank defaults
- By lowering the FX basis, liquidity lines
 - * Lower the recipient bank's default probability
 - * Increase the euro area bank's equity value

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
L _t ^R (€)	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R \in$	<i>D_t</i> (€)
	<i>E_t</i> (€)

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R \in$	D _t (€)
	<i>E_t</i> (€)

Some questions

* In which balance sheet does L_t^R end up being?

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R \in$	D _t (€)
	E _t (€)

- * In which balance sheet does L_t^R end up being?
- * Why no recipient bank equity?

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R \in$	<i>D_t</i> (€)
	<i>E_t</i> (€)

- * In which balance sheet does L_t^R end up being?
- * Why no recipient bank equity?
- st Why can't the recipient bank roll over using $\emph{\emph{C}}_{t+1}^{\emph{R}}$

Recipient bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$L_t^R \in$	$C_t^R \in$
	B_t^R (LC)

Euro area bank	
Assets	Liabilities
$C_t^R \in \mathcal{C}_t$	<i>D_t</i> (€)
	E _t (€)

- * In which balance sheet does L_t^R end up being?
- * Why no recipient bank equity?
- st Why can't the recipient bank roll over using C_{t+1}^R
- * Where is risk? Is default probability assumed to 1?

[# 2] Testing the mechanism(s)

► Key mechanism

- * Liquidity lines lower CIP deviations [Bahaj and Reis (2022)]
- * Liquidity lines reduce recipient-country banks' default probability [This paper]

[# 2] Testing the mechanism(s)

- Key mechanism
 - * Liquidity lines lower CIP deviations [Bahaj and Reis (2022)]
 - * Liquidity lines reduce recipient-country banks' default probability [This paper]
- ▶ **Suggestion** Provide direct evidence in favour of proposed channel in empirical exercise
 - * Recipient banks' CDS spreads

[# 2] Testing the mechanism(s)

Key mechanism

- * Liquidity lines lower CIP deviations [Bahaj and Reis (2022)]
- * Liquidity lines reduce recipient-country banks' default probability [This paper]
- Suggestion Other channels may be at work [Cesa-Bianchi, Eguren-Martin, Ferrero (2022)]
 - * Recipient country's equity prices and non-financial credit spreads

► This paper approach Residualized high-frequency DiD

- ► This paper approach Residualized high-frequency DiD
 - * Residualize currency i's FX basis using a set of country-specific controls

$$\textit{basis}_{\textit{it}} = \alpha_{\textit{j}} + \beta \Omega_{\textit{it}} + \textit{res}_{\textit{it}}$$

- ► This paper approach Residualized high-frequency DiD
 - * Residualize currency i's FX basis using a set of country-specific controls

$$basis_{it} = \alpha_j + \beta \Omega_{it} + res_{it}$$

Compare affected vs. non-affected currencies in narrow window around announcement

$$res_{i,t,e} = \mu_{i,e} + \beta_1 (T_{i,e} \times Post_t) + \Lambda X_t + other controls + u_{i,t,e}$$

- ► This paper approach Residualized high-frequency DiD
 - * Residualize currency i's FX basis using a set of country-specific controls

$$basis_{it} = \alpha_j + \beta \Omega_{it} + res_{it}$$

Compare affected vs. non-affected currencies in narrow window around announcement

$$res_{i,t,e} = \mu_{i,e} + \beta_1 (T_{i,e} \times Post_t) + \Lambda X_t + other controls + u_{i,t,e}$$

Question Why the residualized regression approach (instead of going one-step)?

- ► This paper approach Residualized high-frequency DiD
 - * Residualize currency i's FX basis using a set of country-specific controls

$$basis_{it} = \alpha_j + \beta \Omega_{it} + res_{it}$$

* Compare affected vs. non-affected currencies in narrow window around announcement

$$res_{i,t,e} = \mu_{i,e} + \beta_1 (T_{i,e} \times Post_t) + \Lambda X_t + other controls + u_{i,t,e}$$

- Question Why the residualized regression approach (instead of going one-step)?
 - [1] Generated regressor uncertainty
 - [2] Pollutes exercise on omitted global events

[# 4] Making most of the data

- Not many events, exploit cross-section of affected vs. non-affected currencies
 - * 24 eligible liquidity line announcements, 15 countries

[# 4] Making most of the data

- Not many events, exploit cross-section of affected vs. non-affected currencies
 - * 24 eligible liquidity line announcements, 15 countries
- ► Sample selection
 - [1] G10 countries to avoid confounding effects of reciprocity
 - [2] Countries targeted by the lines but use the euro as main currency (e.g. San Marino)
 - [3] North Macedonia, Romania, and Albania due to data limitation for the construction of FX basis
 - [4] Latvia, since it was included in the ECB press releases
- ► **Final sample** 9 liquidity line announcements, 7 countries...

[# 4] Making most of the data

- Not many events, exploit cross-section of affected vs. non-affected currencies
 - * 24 eligible liquidity line announcements, 15 countries
- ► Sample selection
 - [1] G10 countries to avoid confounding effects of reciprocity
 - [2] Countries targeted by the lines but use the euro as main currency (e.g. San Marino)
 - [3] North Macedonia, Romania, and Albania due to data limitation for the construction of FX basis
 - [4] Latvia, since it was included in the ECB press releases

Suggestions

- * No need for [2] and [3] for recipient country's CDS, equities, spreads, etc
- * 14 eligible announcements in the 2020 to 2022 sample...

In sum

- ► Great paper → Advances our knowledge on an important policy tool
- My suggestions
 - * Tighten the model exposition
 - * Provide more direct evidence on the proposed mechanism
 - Robustify empirical analysis

Discussion of ECB Euro Liquidity Lines

by S. Albrizio, I. Kataryniuk, L. Molina, J. Schafer

A. Cesa-Bianchi*
Bank of England, CEPR, and CfM

Evaluating the monetary-policy toolkit: lessons for the future

Sveriges Riksbank - September 1, 2022

*The views expressed here do not necessarily represent those of the Bank of England or of any of its Committees.